

Starting Small at Mars, and then Going Big

Hoppy Price
NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology


Presentation at the NSS International Space Development Conference
Los Angeles, California
May 25, 2018

Why Mars?

- **Mars science is compelling**
 - Mars was Earth-like over 3 billion years ago
 - Possibility of discovering fossils of extinct microbial life
 - Possibility of discovering current microbial life underground
 - Humans on-site would be more expedient than robots
- **Mars is the most hospitable planet beyond Earth that is accessible**
 - 24.7 hour day
 - Closest gravity to Earth (~1/3 g)
 - Water ice glaciers just under the surface
 - CO₂ atmosphere as a readily available resource

Ice Scarps on Mars: Frozen Great Lakes

- Large deposits of frozen water have been discovered by the Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter




Robotic Exploration Missions

MARS MISSIONS



OPERATIONAL 2001–2017

2018 AND BEYOND




NASA Mars Architecture Concept

- Lunar orbiting Gateway would be used as an assembly point
- Reusable SEP/chemical Deep Space Transport (DST) would transfer crews between the Gateway and high Mars orbit
- Landers would be separately delivered to high Mars orbit by SEP tugs
- Crews would transfer from DST to lander in high Mars orbit




“Achieving Mars” Community Architectures

- Group 1 – sortie class missions
 - like Apollo plus
- Group 2 – research station
 - like Antarctic field camp
- Group 3 – permanent presence



Going to Mars in 2033: Starting Small

- The Explore Mars AM V Group 1 sortie mission architecture offers an example of a near-term affordable approach for human exploration of Mars
- Design would first be tested in lunar orbit (e.g. Gateway)
- Launched in segments by SLS and commercial rockets
- Assembled in high Earth orbit
- Crew would return to Earth in Orion capsule




- The focus of the first missions would be exploration and science
 - Search for life
 - Identification of resources
- Later missions could build upon a modest start with these vehicles and on-ramp greater capabilities

Mars Orbit Mission Concept

crew of 4; 3 SLS launches; ~4 commercial launches

Mars



Earth




Could possibly be a commercial delivery

Pre-decisional. For discussion purposes only.

crew of 4; 5 SLS launches; ~5 commercial launches




Entry, Descent, and Landing (EDL) Concept for Crewed Mars Lander



Mars Short Surface Stay Mission

First Crew on Mars


- Would be the pathfinder for a continuing series of crewed missions to Mars
- Would include a separate sky crane cargo lander with unpressurized rover and science equipment that would provide for crew surface transportation and could also be teleoperated from orbit




Going Bigger: One-Year Surface Mission

- Would build on the short-stay architecture by adding a surface habitat
 - A cargo lander would carry the surface habitat
 - Crew lander with MAV would carry a crew of 4 to the surface
 - Could re-use pressurized rover and other equipment from first mission

Cargo and
logistics
from 1st
mission




Entry Configurations





Surface
habitat







Each lander descent stage would include
~25 kWe (at Mars) arrays and batteries




Landed Configurations




Six Vehicles to Enable Crewed Missions to Mars

Vehicles	# Vehicles per Mission	
Orion 	1	In development
SLS 	5	In development
SEP Tug ~125 kWe 	1	Studies are on contract
Deep Space Habitat 	1	Studies are on contract
In-Space Chemical Propulsion Stage 	4	Could be an international contribution
Mars Lander 	1	Development would need to start


SLS Block 2 and Commercial Launch Concepts




Commercial launch of 125 kWe SEP tug and MAV boost stage to $C_3 = 0$



Crew lander to HEO using back-shell as payload fairing
SLS Launch #1



Docking kit for EUS to use as departure stage
SLS Launch #2



MOI & TEI stages and TransHab launch to LEO
SLS Launch #3

Boost to HEO could alternatively be performed by commercial stage(s)




Docking kit for EUS to use for TransHab boost to HEO
SLS Launch #4



Orion with crew for docking with stack
SLS Launch #5

Launches #2 and #5 have limited launch periods. The other launches have flexible launch dates.

NASA's Current Plans for Human Exploration




The Moon on the Path to Mars



- The Moon could provide important testing and qualification of the vehicles to conduct successful missions to Mars
 - Orion
 - Deep Space Habitat
 - Mars lander and Mars Ascent Vehicle (MAV) system checkout
 - Mars orbital and surface operations and Earth ground operations
- The Moon could provide a shakedown test of the lander design
 - Qualify design in crewed flight-like environment
 - System qualification, space operations, terminal descent and landing, surface deployments, crew surface operations, and MAV operations



Concept for Crewed Test of Mars Lander on the Moon



After Starting Small...Going Big

- Would use results from the early Mars sortie missions to select location for a more permanent presence
 - Accessibility (latitude, terrain)
 - Resources (water ice, minerals)
 - Access to scientific areas of interest
- Would build up surface infrastructure and capability
- Could expand crew sizes and durations of stay



Notional Progression of Missions

This example is slightly more aggressive than the AM V Group 1 scenario:

- Crewed lunar landing test (2031)
- Mars orbit (2033)
- First crewed Mars landing sortie mission with rover (2037)
- Select location for habitat and perform long surface stay (2041)
- Build up surface systems and on-ramp food production (2045)
- On-ramp large nuclear power systems and ISRU (2050)
- Revamp transportation infrastructure (2054)
 - Re-usable MAV (e.g. LaRC Hercules concept, Lockheed Martin lander concept)
 - Possibly convert transit hab and SEP tug vehicles to Aldrin cyclers
 - SpaceX BFR type transportation system (would require Mars surface infrastructure in place)


Going ISRU: Concept for Converting MAV from MMH/MON-15 to LOX/CH4

MMH/MON-15  LOX/CH4



Note: Keep descent propulsion the same – MMH/MON-15

Notional SLS Flight Scenario for AM V Group 1 Concept




AM V Group 1 Program Cost Estimate

Mars budget supplement only, in real year dollars (includes 2.6% inflation)

Assumes SLS, Orion, and Gateway funded as separate budget items

Assumes international partners provide in-space chemical propulsion stages

- Cost methodology developed by the Aerospace Corporation
- Same methodology as 2014 NRC “Pathways” and 2017 OIG report
- Results suggest a similar annual cost and total cost as ISS, over ~25 years, adjusted for inflation



The cost information contained in this document is of a budgetary and planning nature and is intended for informational purposes only. It does not constitute a commitment on the part of JPL and/or Caltech. Pre-decisional. For discussion purposes only.

Humans to Mars could be implemented in our lifetime.

